arXiv:1406.4174v1 [math.DS] 16 Jun 2014

WEAK INVARIANCE PRINCIPLE FOR THE LOCAL TIMES OF GIBBS-MARKOV PROCESSES

MICHAEL BROMBERG
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY. TEL AVIV 69978, ISRAEL.

Abstract. The subject of this paper is to prove a functional weak invariance principle for the local time of a process generated by a Gibbs-Markov map. More precisely, let $(X,\mathcal{B},m,T,\alpha)$ is a mixing, probability preserving Gibbs-Markov. and let $\varphi\in L^2(m)$ be an aperiodic function with mean 0. Set $S_n=\sum_{k=0}^n X_k$ and define the hitting time process $L_n(x)$ be the number of times S_k hits $x\in\mathbb{Z}$ up to step n. The normalized local time process $l_n(x)$ is defined by

$$l_n(t)=\frac{L_n(\lfloor\sqrt{n}x\rfloor)}{\sqrt{n}},\ x\in\mathbb{R}.$$

We prove under that $l_n(x)$ converges in distribution to the local time of the Brownian Motion. The proof also applies to the more classical setting of local times derived from a subshift of finite type endowed with a Gibbs measure.

1. Introduction

Let $(X,\mathcal{B},m,T,\alpha)$ be a mixing, probability preserving Gibbs-Markov map on a standard probability space. Let $\varphi\in L^2(m)$ be an integer valued function with mean 0. We assume that φ is a uniformly Lipchitz continuous function on the partition $\beta=T\alpha$, i.e. $D_\beta f:=\sup_{a\in\beta}D_a f<\infty$, where $D_a f=\sup_{x,y\in a}\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{d(x,y)}$ is the Lipchitz norm on a and $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the complete metric on X.

In what follows, convergence in distribution of random variables X_n taking values in some standard probability space Ω to a limit X, means that for every bounded and continuous $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$, $E(f(X_n))\to E(f(X))$, where $E(\cdot)$ denotes expectation. In this case, we write $X_n\xrightarrow{d}X$.

Let $S_n(x):=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\varphi\left(T^k(x)\right)$ and $\omega_n(t)=\frac{S_{[nt]}}{\sqrt{n}}$, where $[x]$ is the integral value of x, $t\in[0,1]$. The central limit theorem for S_n states that $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}$ converges in distibution to the Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma^2\right)$, where $\sigma^2=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{Var\left(S_n^2\right)}{n}$ is the asymptotic variance of S_n. The stronger, functional CLT states that the random functions $\omega_n(\cdot)$ converge in distribution to $\omega(\cdot)$, where $\omega(\cdot)$ is the Brownian motion sastisfying $E(\omega(t))=0$, $Var(\omega(t))=\sigma^2 t$. Here, convergence in distribution is of random variables taking values on the Skorokhod space $D[0,1]$ of fucntions on $[0,1]$ that are continuous from the right with finite limits on the left (cadlag functions).

We wish to establish a distributional invariance principle for the local time of the sequence ω_n. To make this precise, define the occupation times of a function $f\in D[0,1]$ by

$$\nu_f(A)=\int_0^1\mathbf{1}_A(f(t))\,dt,\ A\in B(\mathbb{R}).$$

Recall that the occupation measure of the Brownian motion is almost surely, absolutely contiuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ [11]. The (random) density function with respect to the occupation measure, which we denote by $l(\cdot)$ is the local time of the Brownian motion.

We define the local time of ω_n at the point x by

$$l_n(x) = \frac{\#\{0 \le k \le n : S_k = [\sqrt{n}x]\}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

l_n is the normailized number of visits to the point $[\sqrt{n}x]$ by the process $\{S_k\}$ up to time n. It may be roughly viewed as the density function of the atomic occupation measure ω_n. In fact (as section ... shows) the differences $\nu_{\omega_n}[a,b] - \int_{\mathbb{R}} l_n(x)\,dx$ converge in distribution to 0.

Existence of local time for the Brownian motion ensures that $\nu_{\omega_n}(A) \xrightarrow{d} \nu_\omega(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ with boundary of Lebesgue measure 0. We wish to establish the convergence in distribution of the corresponding local times.

Since the local time of the Brownian motion is an a.s continuous function, we may consider l_n and l as a family of random variables taking values in the space D of cadlag functions on $\mathbb{R}$ (see [3]).

Theorem 1. *Let $\varphi \in L^2(m)$ with $\sup_{a\in\beta} D_a\varphi < \infty$, $m(\varphi) = 0$. If φ is aperiodic (see definition 3) , then $l_n(\cdot) \xrightarrow{d} l(\cdot)$.*

To prove the theorem, we prove tightness of the sequence l_n in section 4 and then identify l as the only possible limit point for l_n in section 5.

2. Characteristic Function Operators

Throughout this section, let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be a mixing, probability preserving Gibbs-Markov map. For a measurable partition β of X, denote by $L_{p,\beta}$ the intersection of L^p with the space of all functions with a finite Lipchitz norm, i.e. $L_{p,\beta} = \{f \in L^p(m) \mid D_\beta f < \infty\}$ (see introduction for the definition of the Lipchitz norm). Throughout the rest of this section β denotes the partition $T\alpha$.

Consider T as an operator on $L^\infty(m)$ defined by $Tf = f \circ T$. Then the transfer operator $\hat{T} : L^1(m) \to L^1(m)$ is the pre-dual of T, uniquely defined by the equation

$$\int f \cdot g \circ T\, d\mu = \int \hat{T}f \cdot g\, d\mu \ \forall f \in L^1, g \in L^\infty.$$

Recall that an operator S on a Banach space B is called quasi-compact if there exist S-invariant closed subspaces F, H such that:

1. F is finite dimensional and $B = F \oplus H$.
2. T is diagonizable when restricted to F with all eigenvalues having modulus equal to the spectral radius of T, denoted by $\rho(T)$.
3. When restricted to H, the spectral radius of T is strictly less than $\rho(T)$.

Theorem 2. [2] *$\hat{T}$ is a quasi-compact operator on the space $L := L_{\infty,\beta}$. Moreover, $\hat{T}f = m(f) + Qf$, where $m(f)$ is interpreted as a constant function on X and $\rho(Q) < 1$.*

For a measurable function $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}$, the characteristic function operators $P_t : L^1(m) \to L^1(m)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ are defined by

$$P_t f = \hat{T}\left(e^{it\varphi} f\right). \tag{2.1}$$

If $\varphi \in L_{2,\beta}$ then P_t is a twice continuously differentiable function of t.

Restricting P_t to act on L and using the implicit function theorem (see [7]) together with the quasi-compactness of $\hat{T}$ on L, we may obtain the Taylor's expansion of the operator P_t near 0. In case $m(\varphi) = 0$ (as we assume for our purposes), in a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0, $P_t : L \to L$ is of the form

$$P_t = \lambda_t \pi_t + N_t$$

where λ_t is an eigenvalue with absolute value not exceeding 1, π_t is a projection onto a one dimensional vector space generated by an eigenfunction v_t and $\rho(N_t) < q < 1$ for some constant q. Moreover, v_t, π_t, λ_t are twice continuously differentiable functions of t and the Talyor's expansions for λ_t and π_t are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_t &= 1 - \sigma t^2 + \mathrm{o}\left(t^2\right) \\ \pi_t &= m + \eta_t \qquad (2.2) \\ v_t &= \mathbf{1} + \mathrm{O}(t) \qquad (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\eta_t\| = \mathrm{O}(t)$.

Dividing the eigenfunctions v_t by $m(v_t)$ which do not vanish in a neighborhood of t (and multiplying π_t by the same value) we may assume that $m(v_t) = 1$.

We also need the fact that v_0' is a purely imaginary function. To see this note that the equality

$$P_t v_t = \lambda_t v_t$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} P_0' v_0 + P_0 v_0' &= \lambda_0' v_0 + \lambda_0 v_0' \\ &= v_0' \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_0 = \mathbf{1}$ we obtain

$$P_0' \mathbf{1} = (I - P_0) v_0'$$

Now, $P_0'(\mathbf{1}) = \hat{T}(i\varphi)$ is purely imaginary, since $\hat{T} f$ is real if f is real. Moreover, $m(\varphi) = 0$ implies $m(P_0'\mathbf{1}) = 0$. By corollary 3.6 in [7], the equation $P_0'\mathbf{1} = \left(I - \hat{T}\right) f$, $m(f) = 0$ has a unique solution. Since v_0' is the solution to this equation ($m(v_t) \equiv 1 \implies m(v_t') \equiv 0$) it follows that v_0' is purely imaginary.

In what follows, we restrict P_t to act on L.

Definition 3. A measurable function $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{Z}$ is aperiodic if there is no non-trivial character $\gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, such that $\gamma \circ \varphi$ is T-cohomologous to a constant, i.e. the only solution to the equation

$$e^{it\varphi} = \lambda \frac{f \circ T}{f}$$

with $f : X \to \mathbb{T}$ measurable, is $t \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, $f \equiv 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $f \equiv 1$. φ is periodic if it is not aperiodic.

Remark 4. If φ is aperiodic then the characteristic function operator P_t defined by 2.1 has spectral radius strictly less than 1 for all $t \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. By continuity of P_t, this implies that in every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, there exists a constant $q_K < 1$, such that $\|P_t^n\| \leq q_K^n$ for all sufficiently large n.

3. Probability Estimates

Throughout this section we assume that the conditions of theorem 1 hold (hence, all results of the previous section also hold).

Proposition 5. *There exists a constant C such that for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sqrt{n} \cdot m(S_n = x) < C$.*

Proof. By the inversion formula for Fourier transform and definition of the characterisitic function operator,

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(S_n = x\right) &= \operatorname{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]} m\left(e^{itS_n}\right) e^{-itx} dt \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]} m\left(P_t^n \mathbf{1}\right) e^{-itx} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2) there exist a $0 < \delta < \pi$ such that $P_t = \lambda_t \pi_t + N_t$ where $\lambda_t = 1 - \sigma t^2 + \epsilon(t)$, where $|\epsilon(t)| \leq \epsilon t^2$ for some ϵ satisfying $c := \sigma - \epsilon > 0$, and the spectral radius of N_t satisfies $\rho(N_t) \leq q < 1$ for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$. Write $C_\delta = (-\delta, \delta)$ and $\bar{C}_\delta = [-\pi, \pi] \setminus (-\delta, \delta)$. Then

$$\operatorname{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]} m\left(P_t^n \mathbf{1}\right) e^{-itx} dt \leq \int_{C_\delta} \left\|P_t^n \mathbf{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} dt + \int_{\bar{C}_\delta} \left\|P_t^n \mathbf{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} dt. \tag{3.1}$$

Now, by remark 4 $\sup_{t\in\bar{C}_\delta} \left\|P_t^n \mathbf{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}}$ exponentially tends to 0. Hence, the second term on the right side of the above inequality multiplied by $\sqrt{n}$ tends to 0 as n tends to ∞ and in particular, is uniformly bounded. To bound the first term, write

$$\left\|P_t^n \mathbf{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq \left|\lambda_t^n\right| + \left\|N_t^n \mathbf{1}\right\| \leq \left(1 - ct^2\right)^n + \tilde{c} q^n$$

for some constant $\tilde{c}$, which exists since $\rho(N_t) \leq q$ on C_δ . Then

$$\int_{C_\delta} \left\|P_t^n \mathbf{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} dt \leq \int_{C_\delta} \left(1 - ct^2\right)^n dt + 2\delta \tilde{c} q^n$$

and by applying the substitution $t = \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}}$ we obtain

$$\int_{C_\delta} \left(1 - ct^2\right)^n dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{\left(-\sqrt{n}\delta, \sqrt{n}\delta\right)} \left(1 - c\frac{y^2}{n}\right)^n dt \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-cy^2} dy$$

Since, the last integral converges, $\sqrt{n}\int_{C_\delta} \left(1 - ct^2\right)^n dt$ is uniformly bounded by a constant. Since, the second term on the right hand side of the inequality 3.1 tends to 0 exponentially fast, this completes the proof. □

Remark 6. Note that during the proof, we showed that $\sqrt{n}\int_{C_\delta} |\lambda_t|^n dt$ is uniformly bounded by a constant. Essentially the same proof may be used to show that $n\int_{C_\delta} |t\lambda_t^n| dt$ is uniformly bounded by a constant. We use both these facts in the proof of the next proposition.

Proposition 7. *For all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a constant C such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |m(S_n = x) - m(S_n = y)| \leq C|x - y|$.*

Proof. By the inversion formula,

$$|m(S_n = x) - m(S_n = y)| = \left|\operatorname{Re}\int_{-[\pi,\pi]} m\left(P_t^n \mathbf{1}\right)\left(e^{itx} - e^{ity}\right) dt\right|.$$

By (2.2) there exist a $0 < \delta < \pi$ such that $P_t = \lambda_t \pi_t + N_t$ where $|\lambda_t| \leq 1 - ct^2$ for some positive constant, the spectral radius of N_t satisfies $\rho(N_t) \leq q < 1$ for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$, and $\pi_t = m + \eta_t$ with $\|\eta_t\| \leq \tilde{c}t$ for some $\tilde{c} \geq 0$. Write $C_\delta = (-\delta, \delta)$ and $\bar{C}_\delta = [-\pi, \pi] \setminus (-\delta, \delta)$. Then

$$\left|\operatorname{Re}\int_{-[\pi,\pi]} m\left(P_t^n \mathbf{1}\right)\left(e^{itx} - e^{ity}\right) dt\right| \leq \left|\operatorname{Re}\int_{C_\delta} m\left(P_t^n \mathbf{1}\right)\left(e^{itx} - e^{ity}\right) dt\right| + \left|\int_{\bar{C}_\delta} m\left(P_t^n \mathbf{1}\right) dt\right|.$$

As in the proof of proposition 5 the second term on right side of the above inequality tends to 0 exponentially fast and therefore, its sum over n converges. Thus, it is sufficient to bound the first term. Use the expansion of the characteristic function operator to get

$$\left|\mathrm{Re}\int_{C_\delta} m\left(P_t^n\mathbf{1}\right)\left(e^{itx}-e^{ity}\right)dt\right| \leq \left|\mathrm{Re}\int_{C_\delta} \lambda_t^n m\left(\pi_t\mathbf{1}\right)\left(e^{itx}-e^{ity}\right)dt\right| + \left|2\cdot\int_{C_\delta}\|N_t\|^n\,dt\right|.$$

Since $\rho\left(N_t\right)\leq q<1$, the sum over n of the second term on the right hand side is finite. We turn to analyze the first term.

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathrm{Re}\int_{C_\delta}\lambda_t^n m\left(\pi_t\mathbf{1}\right)\cdot\left(e^{itx}-e^{ity}\right)dt\right| &= \left|\int_{C_\delta}\left(\mathrm{Re}\lambda_t^n m\left(\pi_t\mathbf{1}\right)\right)\left(\cos tx-\cos ty\right)dt\right| \\ &\quad +\left|\int_{C_\delta}\left(\mathrm{Im}\lambda_t^n m\left(\pi_t\mathbf{1}\right)\right)\left(\sin tx-\sin ty\right)dt\right| \qquad (3.2)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\left|\mathrm{Re}\lambda_t^n\right|\leq\left|\lambda_t^n\right|\leq 1-ct^2$, and $\left\|\pi_t\right\|_{\mathcal{L}}=1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\int_{C_\delta}\left(\mathrm{Re}\lambda_t^n m\left(\pi_t\mathbf{1}\right)\right)\left(\cos tx-\cos ty\right)dt\right| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{c_\delta}\left(1-ct^2\right)^n\left|\cos tx-\cos ty\right|dt \\ &= \int_{c_\delta}\frac{1}{ct^2}\left|\cos tx-\cos ty\right|dt \\ &\leq C_1\left|x-y\right|\end{aligned}$$

for some constant C_1.

Estimating the sum over the second term in 3.2 is more difficult since $\sin tx-\sin ty$ is of order t instead of t^2. We start by using $\pi_t=m+\eta_t$ to obtain

$$\left|\int_{C_\delta}\left(\mathrm{Im}\lambda_t^n m\left(\pi_t\mathbf{1}\right)\right)\left(\sin tx-\sin ty\right)dt\right| \leq \int_{C_\delta}\left|\mathrm{Im}\lambda_t^n\right|\left|\sin tx-\sin ty\right|dt+\int_{C_\delta}\left|\lambda_t^n\tilde{c}t\right|\left|\sin tx-\sin ty\right|dt. \qquad (3.3)$$

Using $\left|\lambda_t\right|\leq 1-ct^2$ we can estimate the second term on the right hand side of the above inequality.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{C_\delta}\left|\lambda_t^n\tilde{c}t\right|\left|\sin tx-\sin ty\right|dt\leq\tilde{c}\int_{C_\delta}\frac{1}{ct}\left|\sin tx-\sin ty\right|dt\leq C_2\left|x-y\right|.$$

The estimatison of the first term on the right hand side of 3.3 will take up the rest of the proof.

We first note that $\left|\mathrm{Im}\lambda_t^n\right|\leq n\left|\lambda_t\right|^{n-1}\left|\mathrm{Im}\lambda_t\right|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathrm{Im}\lambda_t\right| &= \left|m\left(\mathrm{Im}P_t v_t\right)\right| \\ &\leq \left|m\left(\mathrm{Im}P_t\mathbf{1}\right)\right|+\left|m\left(\mathrm{Im}P_t\psi_t\right)\right|,\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_t=\mathbf{1}-v_t$. By definition of the characteristic function operator, and the fact the $\hat{T}f$ is real if f is real,

$$\left|m\left(\mathrm{Im}P_t\psi_t\right)\right| \leq \left|m\left(\hat{T}\left(\cos t\varphi\mathrm{Im}\psi_t\right)\right)\right|+\left|m\left(\hat{T}\left(\sin t\varphi\mathrm{Re}\psi_t\right)\right)\right|.$$

Since $m\left(\psi_t\right)=0$, $m\circ\hat{T}=m$, $\left|1-\cos t\varphi\right|\leq t^2\varphi^2$, $\left|\psi_t\right|=\mathrm{o}\left(|t|\right)$ and by the positivitiy of the transfer operator,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|m\left(\hat{T}\left(\cos t\varphi\mathrm{Im}\psi_t\right)\right)\right| &= \left|m\left(\left(\cos t\varphi-1\right)\mathrm{Im}\psi_t\right)\right| \\ &\leq m\left(t^2\varphi^2\left|\mathrm{Im}\psi_t\right|\right) \\ &\leq C_3\left|t\right|^3\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the finiteness of the second moment of φ.

Since $\psi_0 = 0$, $\mathrm{Re}\psi_0' = 0$ and ψ_t is twice continuously differentiable,

$$\left| m\left(\hat{T}\left(\sin t\varphi \mathrm{Re}\psi_t\right)\right)\right| \leq C_4 \left|t\right|^3.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{C_\delta} n\left|\lambda_t\right|^{n-1}\left|m\left(\mathrm{Im}P_t\psi_t\right)\right|\left|\sin tx-\sin ty\right|dt &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{C_\delta} n\left(1-ct^2\right)^{n-1}\left(C_3+C_4\right)\left|t\right|^3\left|\sin tx-\sin ty\right|dt\\ &\leq \int_{C_\delta}\frac{1}{ct^4}\left(C_3+C_4\right)\left|t\right|^3\left|\sin tx-\sin ty\right|dt\\ &\leq C_5\left|x-y\right|\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $m\left(\varphi\right)=0$ and $m\circ\hat{T}=m$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|m\left(\mathrm{Im}P_t\mathbf{1}\right)\right| &= \left|m\left(\sin t\varphi\right)\right|\\ &= \left|m\left(\sin t\varphi - t\varphi\right)\right|\end{aligned}$$

We split the last integral into parts where $|t\varphi|\leq 1$ and $|t\varphi|>1$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|m\left(\mathrm{Im}P_t\mathbf{1}\right)\right| &\leq \left|m\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{|t\varphi|\leq 1\}}\left(\sin t\varphi - t\varphi\right)\right)\right| + \left|m\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{|t\varphi|>1\}}\left(\sin t\varphi - t\varphi\right)\right)\right|\\ &\leq \left|m\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{|t\varphi|\leq 1\}}\left|t\varphi\right|^3\right)\right| + \left|m\left(2\left|t\varphi\right|\mathbf{1}_{\{|t\varphi|>1\}}\right)\right|\end{aligned}$$

Thus, summing over n and again using $|\lambda_t|\leq\left(1-ct^2\right)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{C_\delta} n\left|\lambda_t\right|^{n-1}\left|m\left(\mathrm{Im}P_t\mathbf{1}\right)\right|\left|\sin tx-\sin ty\right|dt &\leq \int_{C_\delta}\frac{1}{ct^4}m\left(\left|t\varphi\right|^3\mathbf{1}_{\{|t\varphi\leq 1|\}}\right)\left|\sin tx-\sin ty\right|dt +\\ &\quad +2\int_{C_\delta}\frac{1}{ct^4}m\left(\left|t\varphi\right|\mathbf{1}_{\{|t\varphi|>1\}}\right)\left|\sin tx-\sin ty\right|dt\end{aligned}$$

Bounding $|\sin tx-\sin ty|$ by $|t\left(x-y\right)|$ and changing the order of integration in the first term gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{C_\delta}\frac{1}{ct^4}m\left(\left|t\varphi\right|^3\mathbf{1}_{\{|t\varphi\leq 1|\}}\right)\left|\sin tx-\sin ty\right|dt &\leq m\left(\left|\varphi\right|^3\int_{-\left|\varphi\right|^{-1}}^{\left|\varphi\right|^{-1}}\left|x-y\right|dt\right)\\ &= m\left(2\left|\varphi\right|^2\right)\left|x-y\right|\\ &\leq C_6\left|x-y\right|\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

Changing the order of integration in the second term of 3.4 and using the fact the the integrand is an even function of t, gives

$$\begin{aligned}2\int_{C_\delta}\frac{1}{ct^4}m\left(\left|t\varphi\right|\mathbf{1}_{\{|t\varphi|>1\}}\right)\left|\sin tx-\sin ty\right|dt &\leq 4m\left(\left|\varphi\right|\int_{\left|\varphi\right|^{-1}}^{\delta}\frac{1}{t^2}\left|x-y\right|dt\right)\\ &\leq C_7\left|x-y\right|.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proposition 8. *For any $\epsilon>0$, $1<\alpha\leq 2$ there exists a constant C such that for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\leq|x-y|\leq 1$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $\mathrm{P}\left(\left|l_n\left(x\right)-l_n\left(y\right)\right|>\epsilon\right)\leq C\frac{\left|x-y\right|^\alpha}{\epsilon^\delta}$.*

To prove this estimate, let $L_n\left(x\right)=\#\left\{1\leq k\leq n\middle|\, S_k=x\right\}$, $x\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then by definition $l_n\left(x\right)=\frac{L_n\left(\left[\sqrt{n}x\right]\right)}{\sqrt{n}}$. It is enough to prove

Proposition 9. *For any $1<\alpha<2$ there exists a constant C such that for all $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $m\left(\left(L_n(x)-L_n(y)\right)^6\right)\leq C\cdot\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left|x-y\right|\right)^3+\sqrt{n}^4\left|x-y\right|\log n+\sqrt{n}^4\left(\log n\right)^2\right)$.*

To see that proposition 8 follows from this, note that

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(\left(l_n(x)-l_n(y)\right)^6\right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}^6}m\left(\left(L_n\left(\left[\sqrt{n}x\right]\right)-L_n\left(\left[\sqrt{n}y\right]\right)\right)^6\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^3}C\left(n^3\left|x-y\right|^3+\left|x-y\right|n^2\log n+n^2\left(\log n\right)^2\right) \\ &\leq C\left(\left|x-y\right|^3+\frac{1}{n\left|x-y\right|}\left|x-y\right|^2\log n+\frac{\left(\log n\right)^2}{n\left|x-y\right|^\alpha}\left|x-y\right|^\alpha\right) \\ &\leq \tilde{C}\left|x-y\right|^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

for any $1<\alpha<2$ ($\tilde{C}$ of course depends on α). The last inequality holds since $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\leq\left|x-y\right|\leq 1$. Proposition 8 now follows from Markov's inequality.

We turn to the proof of proposition 9. Using definition of $L_n(x)$ and writing $\psi(z)=\mathbf{1}_{\{x\}}-\mathbf{1}_{\{y\}}$, we obtain

$$m\left(\left(L_n(x)-L_n(y)\right)^{2p}\right)=m\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbf{1}_{\{x\}}(S_k)-\mathbf{1}_{\{y\}}(S_k)\right)^{2p}\right)=\sum_{\bar{i}\in I}m\left(\prod_{l=1}^{2p}\psi(S_{i_l})\right)$$

where I is the set of all tuples of length $2p$ of integers between 1 and n. Clearly, it is enough to prove the estimate for the case where the coordinates in I are not decreasing. Therefore, we denote $J=\{(j_1,...,j_{2p})\,|j_1,..,j_{2p}\in\{1,...,n\}\}$ and estimate

$$\sum_{\bar{j}\in J}m\left(\prod_{l=1}^{2p}\psi(S_{j_l})\right).$$

Fix $\bar{j}\in J$, and let $\bar{k}=(j_1,j_2-j_1,...,j_{2p}-j_{2p-1})$. Then

$$\prod_{l=1}^{2p}\psi(S_{i_l})=\sum_{z_1,z_2,,,,,z_{2p}}m\left(\prod_{l=1}^{2p}\psi(z_l)\mathbf{1}_{\{z_l-z_{l-1}\}}(S_{k_l})\right)$$

where the sum goes over all $\bar{z}=\{(z_1,...z_{2p})\,|z_i\in\{x,y\},i=1,...,2p\}$ and $z_0=0$. Summing over $z's$ having even subscripts we obtain

$$\prod_{l=1}^{2p}\psi(S_{i_l})=\sum_{z_1,z_3,...,z_{2p-1}}m\left(\psi(z_1)\mathbf{1}_{\{z_1\}}(S_{k_1})\left(\prod_{l=1}^{p-1}\psi(z_{2l-1})h(l,z_{2l-1},z_{2l+1})\right)h(p,z_{2p-1})\right)$$

where

$$h(l,u,v)=\mathbf{1}_{\{x-u\}}(S_{k_{2l}})\mathbf{1}_{\{v-x\}}(S_{k_{2l}+1})-\mathbf{1}_{\{y-u\}}(S_{k_{2l}})\mathbf{1}_{\{v-y\}}(S_{k_{2l}+1})$$

and

$$h(l,u)=\mathbf{1}_{\{x-u\}}(S_{2l})-\mathbf{1}_{\{y-u\}}(S_{2l}).$$

We can now take absolute values and write

$$\prod_{l=1}^{2p}\psi(S_{i_l})\leq\sum_{z_1,z_3,...,z_p}\left|m\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{z_1\}}(S_{k_1})\left(\prod_{l=1}^{p-1}h(l,z_{2l-1},z_{2l+1})\right)h(p,z_{2p-1})\right)\right|. \tag{3.5}$$

Adding and subtracting $\mathbf{1}_{\{x-u\}}(S_{k_{2l}})\mathbf{1}_{\{v-y\}}(S_{k_{2l}+1})$ from $h(l,u,v)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(l,u,v) &= \mathbf{1}_{\{x-u\}}(S_{k_{2l}})\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{v-x\}}\left(S_{k_{2l+1}}\right)-\mathbf{1}_{\{v-y\}}(S_{k_{2l}+1})\right) \\ &\quad +\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{x-u\}}(S_{k_{2l}})-\mathbf{1}_{\{y-u\}}(S_{k_{2l}})\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{v-y\}}(S_{2_{kl}+1}). \end{aligned}$$

At this point we use the inversion formula for the Fourier transform to estimate (3.5). To do this, let $\bar{t}=(t_1,...,t_{2p})$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_1(l,u,v) &= e^{it_{2l}(x-u)}\left(e^{-it_{2l+1}(z_{2l+1}-x)}-e^{-it_{2l+1}(z_{2l+1}-y)}\right) \\ \tilde{h}_2(l,u,v) &= \left(e^{it_{2l}(x-u)}-e^{it_{2l}(y-u)}\right)e^{it_{2l+1}(v-y)} \\ \tilde{h}(l,u) &= e^{it_{2l}(x-u)}-e^{it_{2l}(y-u)}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $z_1,z_3,...,z_p$. Then by the inversion formula
$m\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{z_1\}}(S_{k_1})\left(\prod_{l=1}^{p-1}h(l,z_{2l-1},z_{2l+1})\right)h(p,z_{2p-1})\right)=$

$$\mathrm{Re}\int\limits_{[-\pi,\pi]^{2p}} m\left(e^{i\left\langle t,\bar{S}_{\bar{k}}\right\rangle}\right)e^{it_1z_1}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{p-1}\tilde{h}_1(l,z_{2l-1},z_{2l+1})\right)\tilde{h}(p,z_{2p-1})\,dt_1...dt_{2p}$$

$$\mathrm{Re}\int\limits_{[-\pi,\pi]^{2p}} m\left(e^{i\left\langle t,\bar{S}_{\bar{k}}\right\rangle}\right)e^{it_1z_1}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{p-1}\tilde{h}_1(l,z_{2l-1},z_{2l+1})\right)\tilde{h}(p,z_{2p-1})\,dt_1...dt_{2p}$$

The next proposition completes the proof:

Proposition 10. *Let $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\bar{z}=(z_1,...,z_p)$, $\bar{w}=(w_1,...,w_p)$ be two vectors with integer coordinates such that $z_i-w_i=x-y$ and let $\bar{k}$ be a p-tuple of nonnegative integers. Also, let $\bar{\xi}$ be a vector with the i-th coordinate being equal to either $e^{it_iz_i}-e^{it_iw_i}$ or $e^{it_iz_i}$. Denote by J the set of coordinates $1\le i\le p$ such the $\xi_i=e^{it_iz_i}-e^{it_iw_i}$ and by $\bar{J}$ the set of coordinates $1\le i\le p$ with $\xi_i=e^{itz_i}$. Then*

$$\sum_{1\le k_1\le...\le k_p\le n}\left|Re\int\limits_{[-\pi,\pi]^p} m\left(e^{i\left\langle t,\bar{S}_{\bar{k}}\right\rangle}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_1...dt_p\right|\le C_p|x-y|^{\#J}\sqrt{n}^{\#\bar{J}}+\sqrt{n}^{\#\bar{J}+1}|x-y|^{\#J-2}\log n+\sqrt{n}^{p-2}(\log n)^2$$

where C_p is a contant.

Proof. We may assume that $|x-y|\le\sqrt{n}$ (since certainly $|x-y|$ is bounded by constant times $\sqrt{n}$). By definition of the characteristic function operator

$$m\left(e^{i\left\langle t,\bar{S}_{\bar{k}}\right\rangle}\right)=m\left(P_{t_p}^{k_p}P_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right).$$

By (2.2) there exist a $0<\delta<\pi$ such that $P_t=\lambda_tm+\lambda_t\eta_t+N_t$ where $\lambda_t\le1-ct^2$ for some positive constant c, $\|\eta_t\|\le c|t|$ and the spectral radius of N_t satisfies $\rho(N_t)\le q<1$ for all $t\in(-\delta,\delta)$. We denote $C_\delta=(-\delta,\delta)$ and

$\bar{C}_\delta = [-\pi,\pi] \setminus (-\delta,\delta)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.6)\qquad \mathrm{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^p} m\left(e^{i\langle t,\bar{S}_{\bar{k}}\rangle}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_1...dt_p \;=\; & \mathrm{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-1}}\int_{C_\delta}\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p} m\left(P_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1 \\
& +\mathrm{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-1}}\int_{C_\delta} m\left(\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p}\eta_{t_p}P_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1 \\
& +\mathrm{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-1}}\int_{C_\delta} m\left(N_{t_p}P_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1 \\
& +\mathrm{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-1}}\int_{\bar{C}_\delta} m\left(P_{t_p}^{k_p}P_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1
\end{aligned}
$$

We handle each of the terms on the right hand side separately. Since $\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-1}} m\left(P_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p-1}\xi_l\,dt_{p-1}...dt_1$ is a difference of inverse Fourier transforms and therefore real,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-1}}\int_{C_\delta}\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p} m\left(P_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1 \;=\; & \left(\mathrm{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-1}} m\left(P_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p-1}\xi_l\,dt_{p-1}...dt_1\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\mathrm{Re}\int_{C_\delta}\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p}\xi_p dt_p\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p \in J$, by the proof of the potential kernel estimate (proposition 7) $\sum_{1\le k_p\le n}\left|\mathrm{Re}\int_{C_\delta}\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p}\xi_p dt_p\right| \le C_1\,|x-y|$. Otherwise by remark 12 this term is bounded by $\frac{C_1}{\sqrt{k_p}}$ and $\sum_{1\le k_p\le n}\frac{C_1}{\sqrt{k_p}} \le C_2\sqrt{n}$. To estimate

$$
\mathrm{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-1}} m\left(P_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p-1}\xi_l\,dt_{p-1}...dt_1
$$

we may use the induction hypothesis. Combining the two estimates we obtain

$$
\sum_{1\le k_1,\dots,k_p\le n}\left|\mathrm{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-1}}\int_{C_\delta}\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p} m\left(P_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1\right|
$$

$$
\le C_2\,|x-y|^{\#J}\,\sqrt{n}^{\#\bar{J}} + \sqrt{n}^{\#\bar{J}+1}\,|x-y|^{\#J-2}\log n + \sqrt{n}^{p-2}\,(\log n)^2\,.
$$

We now turn to the second term in 3.6. Expanding one more term in the integral we get
$\mathrm{Re}\int\limits_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-1}}\int\limits_{C_\delta} m\left(\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p}\eta_{t_p}P_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.7)\qquad &= \mathrm{Re}\int\limits_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-2}}\int\limits_{C_\delta\times C_\delta} m\left(\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p}\eta_{t_p}\lambda_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}m\left(P_{t_{p-2}}^{k_{p-2}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1\\
&+\mathrm{Re}\int\limits_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-2}}\int\limits_{C_\delta\times C_\delta} m\left(\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p}\eta_{t_p}\lambda_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}\eta_{t_{p-1}}P_{t_{p-2}}^{k_{p-2}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1\\
&+\mathrm{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-2}}\int\limits_{C_\delta\times C_\delta} m\left(\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p}\eta_{t_p}N_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}P_{t_{p-2}}^{k_{p-2}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1\\
&+\mathrm{Re}\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-2}}\int\limits_{\bar{C}_\delta}\int\limits_{C_\delta} m\left(\lambda_{t_p}\eta_{t_p}P_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\|\eta_t\|\le c\,|t|$, by remark 12 we get that $\int_{C_\delta}\left\|\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p}\eta_{t_p}\right\|dt_p\le\frac{C_1}{k_p}$, and hence $\sum_{k_p=1}^{n}\left|\int_{C_\delta}\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p}\eta_{t_p}\right|dt_p\le\log n$. Thus, using also $\int_{C_\delta}\left|\lambda_{t_p}^{k_{p-1}}\right|dt_p\le\frac{C_1}{\sqrt{k_{p-1}}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum\nolimits_{1\le k_1,...,k_p\le n}\left|\mathrm{Re}\int\limits_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-2}}\int\limits_{C_\delta\times C_\delta} m\left(\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p}\eta_{t_p}\lambda_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}m\left(P_{t_{p-2}}^{k_{p-2}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1\right|\\
&\le\ \sqrt{n}\log n\sum_{1\le k_1,...,k_{p-2}\le n}\left|\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-2}} m\left(P_{t_{p-2}}^{k_{p-2}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_{i-2}\,dt_p...dt_1\right|\\
&\le\ C_2\left(\sqrt{n}^{\#\bar{J}+1}\,|x-y|^{\#J-2}\log n+\sqrt{n}^{p-2}\left(\log n\right)^2\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the same method and $\int_{[-\pi,\pi]}\left\|P_t^k\right\|dt\le\frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}$ we obtain

$$
\sum_{1\le k_1,...,k_{p-2}\le n}\left|\mathrm{Re}\int\limits_{[-\pi,\pi]^{p-2}}\int\limits_{C_\delta\times C_\delta} m\left(\lambda_{t_p}^{k_p}\eta_{t_p}\lambda_{t_{p-1}}^{k_{p-1}}\eta_{t_{p-1}}P_{t_{p-2}}^{k_{p-2}}...P_{t_1}^{k_1}\mathbf{1}\right)\prod_{l=1}^{p}\xi_l\,dt_p...dt_1\right|\le C\left(\sqrt{n}^{p-2}\left(\log n\right)^2\right).
$$

Keeping in mind that $\|P_t^n\|$ for $t\in\bar{C}_\delta$ and $\|N_t^n\|$ for $t\in C_\delta$ uniformly tend to 0 with an exponential rate we obtain the bound $C\left(\sqrt{n}^{p-2}\left(\log n\right)^2\right)$ for the third and fourth term on the righ hand side of 3.7 (actually we obtain a better bound, but we do not use it).

Combination of the estimates above proves the result.

□

4. Tightness of l_n in D.

A sequence $\{X_n\}$ of random variables taking values in a standard Borel Space $(X, \mathcal{B})$ is called tight if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact $K \subset X$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P_n(K) > 1 - \epsilon,$$

where P_n denotes the distribution of X_n . By Prokhorov's Theorem relative compactness of $t_n(x)$ in D is equivalent to tightness. Therefore we are interested in characterizing tightness in D.

For $x(t)$ in $D_{[-h,h]}$,$T \subseteq [-h,h]$ set

$$\omega_x(T) = \sup_{s,t \in T} |x(s) - x(t)|$$

and

$$\omega_x(\delta) := \sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |x(s) - x(t)|.$$

$\omega_x(\delta)$ is called the modulus of continuity of x. Due to the Arzela - Ascoli theorem, it plays a central role in characterizing tightness in the space $C[-h,h]$ of continuous functions on $[-h,h]$, with a Borel σ-algebra generated by the topology of uniform convergence.

The function that plays in $D[-h,h]$ the role that the modulus of continuity plays in $C[-h,h]$ is defined by

$$\omega'_x(\delta) = \inf_{\{t_i\}} \max_{1 \le i \le v} \omega([t_i, t_{i+1}))\,,$$

where $\{t_i\}$ denotes a δ sparse partition of $[-h,h]$, i.e. $\{t_i\}$ is a partition $-h = t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_{v+1} = h$ such that $\min_{1 \le i \le v} |t_{i+1} - t_i| > \delta$. It is easy to check that if $\frac{1}{2} > \delta > 0$, and $h \ge 1$,

$$\omega'_x(\delta) \le \omega_x(2\delta)\,.$$

For details see [3, Sections 12 and 13]. The next theorem is a characterization of tightness in the space D.

Theorem 11. [3, Lemma 3, p.173] *(1)The sequence l_n is tight in D if and only if its restriction to $[-h,h]$ is tight in $D_{[-h,h]}$ for every $h \in \mathbb{R}_+$.*

(2) The sequence l_n is tight in $D_{[-h,h]}$ if and only if the following two conditions hold:

(i) $\forall x \in [-h,h]\,,\ \lim_{a \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} m\,[|l_n(x)| \ge a] = 0.$

(ii) $\forall \epsilon > 0,\ \lim_{\delta \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} m\left[\omega'_{l_n}(\delta) \ge \epsilon\right] = 0.$

Remark 12. See [3, Thm. 13.2] and the Corollary that follows. Conditions (i) and (ii) of the previous theorem imply that

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} m\left[\sup_{x \in [-m,m]} |l_n(x)| \ge a\right] = 0.$$

Proposition 13. *The sequence $\{l_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is tight.*

Proof. We prove that condition $2(i)$ holds.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the Brownian Motion $\omega(t)$ satisfies

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\omega(t)| > M\right) = 0$$

and ω_n converges in distribution to ω there are M, n_0 such that for all $n > n_0$,

$$m\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]}|W_n(t)|>M\right)<\epsilon.$$

By definition of $t_n(x)$, it follows that if $|x|>M$, $n>n_0$,

$$m\left(|l_n(x)|>0\right)<\epsilon.$$

Now, if $|x|\leq M$, by proposition 13,

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(|l_n(x)|>a\right) &\leq m\left(|l_n(M+1)|>0\right)+m\left(|l_n(x)-l_n(M+1)|>a\right)\\ &\leq \epsilon+\frac{4C\cdot(M+1)^2}{a^4}\end{aligned}$$

and the last expression can be made less then 2ϵ for sufficiently large a.

To prove condition $2(ii)$ WLOG we may assume that $m\geq 1$. Since $\omega_x'(\delta)\leq\omega_x(2\delta)$, it is sufficient to prove that the stronger condition

$$\forall\epsilon>0.\ \lim_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty} m\left[\sup_{x,y\in[-h.h];|x-y|<\delta}|l_n(x)-l_n(y)|\geq\epsilon\right]=0 \tag{4.1}$$

holds.

Let $\epsilon>0$, $1<\alpha<2$. By proposition 13 there exists $C>0$ such that for all $x,y:\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\leq|x-y|\leq 1$

$$\mathbb{P}^{\mu}\left(|l_n(x)-l_n(y)|>\epsilon\right)\leq\frac{C}{\epsilon^6}|x-y|^{\alpha}. \tag{4.2}$$

Let $\delta>0$ and $n>\delta^{-2}$, notice that l_n is constant on segments of the form $\left[\frac{j}{\sqrt{n}},\frac{j+1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$, hence

$$m\left(\sup_{x,y\in[-h.h];|x-y|<\delta}|l_n(x)-l_n(y)|\geq 4\epsilon\right)\leq\sum_{|k\delta|\leq h} m\left(\sup_{k\delta\sqrt{n}\leq j\leq(k+1)\delta\sqrt{n}}\left|l_n(k\delta)-l_n\left(\frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right|\geq\epsilon\right).$$

By [3, Theorem 10.2] it follows from (4.2) that there exists $C_2>0$ such that

$$m\left(\sup_{k\delta\sqrt{n}\leq j\leq(k+1)\delta\sqrt{n}}\left|t_n(k\delta)-t_n\left(\frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right|\geq\epsilon\right)\leq\frac{C_2}{\epsilon^6}\delta^{\alpha}. \tag{4.3}$$

Therefore,

$$m\left(\sup_{x,y\in[-h.h];|x-y|<\delta}|l_n(x)-l_n(y)|\geq 4\epsilon\right)\leq\frac{2C_2m}{\epsilon^6}\delta^{\alpha-1}\xrightarrow[\delta\to 0]{}0.$$

□

5. Identifying l as the Limit of A Convergent Subsequence of $\{l_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Proposition 14. *Assume that the sequence $\{X_n\}$ satisfies the assumptions of theorem 1. Let l_{n_k} be some subsequence of l_n that converges in distribution to some limit q. Then $q\overset{d}{=}l$.*

Proof. Let $G_k = \{a_1, b_1, ..., a_k, b_k : a_i < b_i,\ i = 1, ..., k\}$. For $g \in G_k$ define the transformation $\pi_g : D \to \mathbb{R}^k$ by $\pi_g(l) = \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} l(x)\, dx, ... \int_{a_n}^{b_n} l(x)\, dx\right)$. Clearly,

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{\pi_g^{-1}([c_1, d_1) \times ... \times [c_k, d_k)) : g \in G_k,\ c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{R},\ c_i < d_i,\ i = 1, ..., n\right\}$$

is a π-system, i.e. closed under finite intersections. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}$ generates the Borel σ-algebra of D. It follows that if $\pi_g(q) = \pi_g(l)$ for every $g \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k$ then $q \overset{d}{=} l$. Hence, to prove the theorem it is enough to show that if $l_{n_k} \xrightarrow{d} q$ then $\pi_g(l_{n_k}) \xrightarrow{d} \pi_g(l)$ for every $g \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k$. To this purpose we first prove that for $g = [a_1, b_1) \times ... \times [a_k, b_k)$,

$$\pi_g(l_n) - \left(\int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[a_1,b_1)}(l_n)\, dt, ..., \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[a_k,b_k)}(l_n)\, dt\right) \xrightarrow{d} 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Then we prove that

$$\left(\int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[a_1,b_1)}(l_n)\, dt, ..., \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[a_k,b_k)}(l_n)\, dt\right) \xrightarrow{d} \left(\int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[a_1,b_1)}(l)\, dt, ..., \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[a_k,b_k)}(l)\, dt\right). \tag{5.2}$$

5.1 and 5.2 imply that $\pi_g(l_{n_k}) \xrightarrow{d} \pi_g(l)$, thus proving the proposition (see Billingsley).

We now prove 5.1. By straightforward calculations using definitions, we have

$$\left|\int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[a,b)}(\omega_n(t))\, dt - \int_a^b l_n(x)dx\right| \leq \int_{\frac{\lfloor\sqrt{n}a\rfloor}{\sqrt{n}}}^{\frac{\lfloor\sqrt{n}a\rfloor+1}{\sqrt{n}}} l_n(x)\, dx + \int_{\frac{\lfloor\sqrt{n}b\rfloor}{\sqrt{n}}}^{\frac{\lfloor\sqrt{n}b\rfloor+1}{\sqrt{n}}} l_n(x)\, dx. \tag{5.3}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(\left|\int_{\frac{\lfloor\sqrt{n}a\rfloor}{\sqrt{n}}}^{\frac{\lfloor\sqrt{n}a\rfloor+1}{\sqrt{n}}} l_n(x)\, dx\right| > \epsilon\right) &\leq m\left(\sup_{x\in[a-1,b+1]} |l_n(x)| > M\right) \\ &+ m\left(\left|\int_{\frac{\lfloor\sqrt{n}a\rfloor}{\sqrt{n}}}^{\frac{\lfloor\sqrt{n}a\rfloor+1}{\sqrt{n}}} l_n(x)\, dx\right| > \epsilon, \sup_{x\in[a-1,b+1]} |l_n(x)| \leq M\right). \end{aligned}$$

The second summand on the right side of the above inequality tends to 0 since the integral is less than $\frac{M}{\sqrt{n}}$ .The first summand is arbitrarily close to 0 for M, n large enough, by Remark 12. Same reasoning applied to both summands of equation (5.3) gives

$$\left|\int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[a,b)}(\omega_n(t))\, dt - \int_a^b l_n(x)dx\right| \xrightarrow{d} 0. \tag{5.4}$$

5.1 now follows from

$$m\left(\left\|\pi_g(l_n) - \left(\int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[a_1,b_1)}(l_n)\, dt, ..., \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[a_k,b_k)}(l_n)\, dt\right)\right\| > \epsilon\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} m\left(\left|\int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[a_k,b_k)}(\omega_n(t))\, dt - \int_{a_k}^{b_k} l_n(x)dx\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{k}\right)$$

and 5.4.

We turn to the proof of 5.2. Since $\omega_n \xrightarrow{d} \omega$, it is enough to show that the transformation $\omega \to \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[a,b)}(\omega(t))\,dt$ is continuous in the Skorokhod topology on $D[0,1]$ at almost all sample points of the Brownian motion ω. This is proved in [10, Section 2]. □

References

[2] J. Aaronson and M. Denker. Local limit theorems for partial sums of stationary sequences generated by Gibbs–Markov maps. Stoch.Dyn. 1(2),193–237 (2001).

[3] Billingsley, P. Convergence of Probability Measures, second edition, Wiley, New York (1999).

[4] Borodin, A.N. On the asymptotic behavior of local times of recurrent random walks with finite variance. Theory Probab. Appl. 26, pp. 758–772 (1981).

[7] Hennion H., and Hervé, L. Limit theorems for Markov chains and stochastic properties of dynamical systems by quasi-compactness. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1766. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2001).

[8] Jain N., Pruitt W. Asymptotic behavior of the local time of a recurrent random walk. Ann. Probab. Volume 12, Number 1, 64-85 (1984).

[10] Kesten, H. and Spitzer, F. A limit theorem related to a new class of self similar processes. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 50, no.1, pp. 5-25 (1979).

[11] Mörters, P., Peres, Y.: Brownian Motion. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).